Preserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1935-36
(Muz)

Muz File No. 195-35
Serial Nos. 1-2

Letter References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for March 1936

SUBJECT.

Rahadari to the Guru of Bhaskara-
-charya Samsthana of Kolar

No 1155-64 Dt 26-2-1936

To

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

The Muzrai Comr
" Inspector General of Police
" District Magistrates of
Districts

Sanctions the issue of a Rahadari
in general terms

Serial No.

Letter no C 959 of 34-35 of 4-2-36 from the Muzrai Commissioner requesting approval to the issue of a Rahadari to the Guru of Bhaskaracharya Samsthanam of Kolar —

1) The records sent by the M.C. do not state where the Mutt is — The Amildar Kolar Tk has made it clear in his report of S *21.1.36 that the Guru in question has no Mutt building at Kolar — The Guru in question is found to be the Guru of the members of Vysya Community of Kolar. The M.C. had called for a report re: the present condition of the mutt — (vide the letter no ×S. ×999 of 5-12-35 addressed to the D.C. Kolar Dt —) — The reports in the records are silent about this point; over 1000 members of the Vysya Community of Kolar are stated to be the disciples of the Guru — Whether ×Z the ×Nirup of 1828 has been in force & whether it was acted upon at any time prior to this & whether the Swami basing his claim on the Nirup had at any time during the 3 to 4 decades ~~had~~ applied for a Rahadari & was granted any ~~is~~ are not borne out by the records

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

865

Muz File No. 195 of 193~~5~~6 Gen

If no rahadari was issued at any time prior to this, the need for issuing one now ~~[illegible]~~ is matter to be made clear by the M.C. No mention is also made if the mutt is a relig. Instn. in receipt of any land or cash grant. Before any consideration is given to the proposal, it is respectfully doubted whether ~~that~~ it is not necessary to obtain full information on all the points raised above. For orders.

245

GS ~~[illegible]~~ 7/2

2) The recommendation of the M.C. ~~may~~ to issue a rahadari in general terms may perhaps be approved.

7/2/36

(3) Infn on these points wd be necessary only if any insignia are to be allowed. This is only a rahadari in general terms.

7/2

DEWAN'S OFFICE
13 FEB. 1936
No. 5240

Gen: Secy)

4) Inw
7/2
8/2

GEN.
11 FEB.
No. 5574

First Member)

5) SJDV
12/2
13/2

Dewan)

MI
13-2-36

14/2

7) Dft.699 sanctioning the proposal of the M.C. is subd. If it is deemed necessary Dft. of a final note || will be subd.

GS ~~[illegible]~~
17/2 18/2

8) This does not seem necessary

18/2

9) Draft for perusal before issue

18/2

GENL.
20 FEB 193

Gen: Secy)

10) Inw 11) 19/2

865

General and Revenue Secretariat Muz File No. 195 of 1935. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
2	G. O. No. 1153–64 of 26/2/36 Sanctioning the recommendation of the Muzrai Commission in &c

R 573

NOTE—Contn Sheet. WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7 35

File No. of 193

ne
Sec

SIONER

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
865
6 FEB 1936
MUZRAI

Bangalore, 3rd February 1936.

4

5

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

T. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
5 FEB 1936

1/5/2

Rahadari to the Guru of Bhaskaracharya
Samsthana of Kolar.

I have the honor to forward herewith the marginally noted petitions received from the Guru requesting the issue of a Rahadari to facilitate his tour in the State. The claim is based on a Nirup dated సర్వజిత్ సం॥ మాఘ బ॥ 20 శుక్ర వారం corresponding to 10th Feb 1888 × granted by His Highness the late Maharaja. The agent of the Guru had produced the original and the same was returned to him after retaining a copy duly compared. The Nirup does not concede the use of any birudu by the Guru but authorises the Killedars to permit free passage of the Guru and his followers and to arrange for the watch and ward of the Guru's camp. The Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District who was requested to report in the matter states that a Rahadari may be granted as prayed for the Guru (vide copy of letter dated 30-1-36, enclosed). I see no objection to the issue of a similar Rahadari in general terms for the convenience of the Guru and the guidance of the local officers and solicit approval of Government in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent on casual leave.)

letter No.C.9[illegible]9/54-35 dated 5th December 1935 re. the issue of a provincial rahadari in favour of the Guru of Sri Bhaskara Acharya Samasthana of Kolar, I have the honor to forward herewith the report No.76/35-36 dated 21st January 1936 received from the Amildar, Kolar Taluk, for favour of perusal. It is seen from the mahazar dated 16th January 1936 drawn up by the of Kolar Town, that there are about 1000 disciples of Arya Vyshya communi this town. The leading Vaisyas of the town request that a Rahadari may be granted to the guru to visit this town.

Under these circumstances, I request you to be so good as to grant a Rahada as prayed for by the Guru.

"COPY"

Ramaiah

For Muzrai Commissioner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE [illegible]
[illegible]

a d[illegible]

[illegible] from the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] officers may be issued.

1155-64/Puz 195-35-2

[illegible]
26

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Note

* The word "samsthan" means a State. It is a point for consideration whether the head of a Matt who x subject of H.H. the Maharaja should be allowed to assume royal style and call his Matt a State. In another file the Dewan objected to the use of the words "heir apparent" when speaking of the Junior Swamigallu of the Sringeri Matt.

The use of the word may be avoided in the draft G.O. may be therefore avoided, if approved.

18.2.36

28.2.36

18/2

8

App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT ....

....

....

13 [illegible]

Diary. No. of letter replied to..............................

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Section Mu3

File No 195-35

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 26-2-1936.

Signed by Mr B. Venkatakrishnappa

Read— Letter no C 999/34-35 of 4.2.1936 from the M.C forwarding petn from the Guru of Bhashanachanga Matt, ~~[illegible]~~ Kolar regarding the issue of a Rahadari to facilitate his

~~Order thereon.—No.~~ tour in the State, and stating that the D.C. Kolar ~~who was requested to report~~ ~~submit a report~~ ~~on the matter has stated~~ ~~that a Rahadari was~~ ~~granted to [illegible] for,~~ ~~regarding [illegible] the~~ ~~issue of~~ a Rahadari i

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

of the and the guidance

of the local officers may be issued.

Order no 1155-64 of 26-2-1936
Encl Records

The recommendation

of the M.C. is sanctioned.

18/4/36 Secy. Sec

To the

The M.C. with records

The I.G. of Police

The Dist Magistrate of Dts

Copy to Rev Br

17-2-36

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by